



Application No. 08/713,928 Applicant(s)

Radin et al.

Interview Summary Examiner

Elizabeth C. Kemmerer

Group Art Unit 1646



	(3)
(2) Attorney Laura Coruzzi	(4)
Date of Interview	_
Type: 🛛 Telephonic 🗌 Personal (copy is given to	applicant applicant's representative).
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:	No. If yes, brief description:
Agreement X was reached. was not reached.	
Claim(s) discussed: 65	
Identification of prior art discussed: N/A	
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if It was agreed that amending claim 65 to depend from clair.	
outstanding rejection and place the application in condition	o for allowance. This will be done by formal Examiner's
A Abia indensita	
Amendment in response to this interview.	

1. X It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable

Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above Elyaber C. Kemmen is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.